Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/843,426	DOROFEEV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lilian Vo	2195
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Amendment</u>
(1) <u>Lilian Vo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Lord.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>26 October 2005</u>	Time: <u>12 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11.		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment claims 1, 5 and 9.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)